For Reporting Period: July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015

I. General Information:

Lead agency name: Department of Ecology

Partner agencies: None

Improvement project title: Electronic Return Receipt for Certified Mail

Date improvement project was initiated: 1/1/2015

Project type: New Project

If applicable, specify the alignment: Project is directly connected to:

☐ Results Washington performance

measure

☐ Agency Strategic Plan N/A

 ○ Other Goal 5: Efficient, effective & accountable government,

N/A

Goal Topic: Resource Stewardship (My money is used

responsibly.)

Report reviewed and approved by: Polly Zehm

II. Project Summary:

The Department of Ecology's headquarters and Southwest Regional Office improved the process they use to send certified mail, resulting in an average savings of \$2.84 per piece of certified mail for postage, supplies, and labor, and five minutes less staff time spent per piece. This is an expansion of a project that started in Ecology's Northwest Regional Office that we submitted for the January – December 2015 reporting period. Our Northwest office continues to use this new process, and saved an additional \$1,243.92 from July to December 2015.

III. Project Details:

Identify the problem:

The process for sending letters using certified mail is cumbersome and expensive.

Problem statement:

Ecology is spending too much money and time on certified letters.

description:

Improvement In 2014, Ecology's Northwest Regional Office Water Resources staff implemented a new electronic process for sending certified mail. The new process reduced postage, supplies, and staff time. Ecology reported on those savings in July 2015, for the

January to June 2015 Governor's report.

Since then, our Southwest office and headquarters have started using the new process, resulting in additional savings to Ecology, as detailed in the table below.

Our goal is to expand the use of the new electronic certified mail process to other

Updated: 5-7-15

programs and offices at Ecology. The Water Resources Program and our Customer Services Specialist who manages the Ecology Mail Center are encouraging other Ecology programs and offices to adopt this new process. We will continue to collect data on this improvement and provide an update for a future reports if applicable.

Customer involvement:

We did not include any of our customers in the process improvement, but the customers we have talked to really like the new process because they don't have to write in a date anymore. They only have to print their name and sign.

IV. Project Details:

Improved process as measured by: (Click those that apply)	Specific results achieved: (Complete the narrative boxes below)	Total Impact: (Actuals; Current Reporting Period)	Results status:
⊠ Cost	Decreased cost of Certified Mail from an average \$8.66 per letter (postage, supplies, labor) to average \$5.82 per letter at SWRO.	Total savings for HQ and SWRO for 592 letters = \$1,681.28	Preliminary
⊠ Time	Decreased time to process Certified Mail from 6:30 minutes per letter to 1:30 minutes (the cost savings associated with this is accounted for in the "cost" part of this table).	49.33 hours for 592 letters	Preliminary

V. Contact information:

Name: Hannah Waterstrat e-mail: Hannah.waterstrat@ecy.wa.gov

Phone number: (360) 407-7668

For Reporting Period: July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015

I. General Information:

Lead agency name: Department of Ecology

Partner agencies: List other agencies involved in the project

Improvement project title: Electronic Permitting for Hanford Cleanup

Date improvement project was initiated: 2012

Project type: New Project

Project is directly connected to: If applicable, specify the alignment:

□ Results Washington performance measure

A man ay Christian Dlam

□ Agency Strategic Plan

3.1.b. Increase completion percentage of the Hanford tank waste treatment plant from 63% to 86% by 2016.

Prevent and Reduce Toxic Threats

Report reviewed and approved by: Polly Zehm

II. Project Summary:

☐ Other

The Department of Ecology improved the process for developing and distributing copies of the Hanford hazardous waste permit, resulting in reduced staff time, fewer supplies, and improved delivery method.

III. Project Details:

Identify the problem:

The Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit (Site-wide Permit) is a very large and complex document that has been distributed in hard copy format to Hanford and the public making it difficult for Hanford employees, Ecology employees, and the public to access. Each time part of the permit is revised, the multiple pages and copies must be managed within the larger document.

Problem statement:

For each 16,476-page copy of the permit, Ecology used 16 reams of paper; 40 divider tabs; 19 five-inch binders; and 600 sleeves for drawings. Initially there were 22 copies of the permit, which totaled 362 reams of paper (362,472 pages)

Improvement description:

The Permit Production Team in the Nuclear Waste Program researched the feasibility of reducing the number of hard copies needed to meet legal

requirements. They determined only three hard copies needed to be produced, and

the rest could be distributed on DVD.

Customer involvement:

We first consulted the Attorney General's Office to fully understand legal requirements regarding hard copies of the permit. Then we asked the U.S.

Department of Energy (permittee) if they wanted to continue to receive a hard copy

or if an electronic version would meet their needs.

Updated: 5-7-15

IV. Project Details:

Improved process as measured by: (Click those that apply)	Specific results achieved: (Complete the narrative boxes below)	Total Impact: (Actuals; Current Reporting Period)	Results status:
⊠ Cost	Decreased staff costs from an average* of \$38,935.00 for 22 hard copies to an average of \$5,309.00 for 3 hard copies in August 2015 with the Revision 8c Permit.	Average savings of \$33,626.83	Preliminary
⊠ Cost	Decreased supply costs from an average* of \$40,243.89 for 22 hard copies to an average of \$5,487.00 for 3 hard copies in August 2015 with the Revision 8c Permit. These savings occur a minimum of 4 times per year.	An average savings of \$34,756.89	
⊠ Quality	By implementing a standard production methodology, which includes a quality assurance phase, the overall quality of the permit was improved.	N/A	Select from dropdown.
□ Customer Satisfaction	Stakeholder satisfaction has improved, now that the permit is available in electronic form on the Ecology website.		

^{*}This data is based on data gathered in 2012 when we first implemented electronic vs. hard copies of permit revisions.

V. Contact information:

Name: Angel Almaraz e-mail: angel.almaraz@ecy.wa.gov

Phone number: 509-372-7958

VI. Optional Visuals:

This is an after picture of the three complete sets of the permit. Imagine what 22 complete sets of the permit looked like before the improvement.



Updated: 5-7-15