

STATE OF WASHINGTON

WASHINGTON TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS

1500 Jefferson Street SE ☐ Olympia, Washington 98504-1501 ☐ (360) 407-8700

WaTech Governor's Agency Lean Report Jul-Dec 2017

1. Training Data:

| | Data to Report | 7/2017-12/2017* | Total WaTech** |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Number of employees who have had any Lean knowledge and skill building in Lean in WaTech. | | |
| | | 15 | 182 |
| 2 | Number of supervisors, managers, executives who have had any Lean knowledge and skill building in Lean in your agency. | | |
| | agency. | 4 | 54 |
| 3 | Number of employees who have had problem solving training. | | |
| | | 0 | 44 |
| 4 | Number of supervisors, managers, executives who have had problem solving training. | | |
| | | 0 | 5 |
| 5 | Number of supervisors, managers, executives who have had coaching training. | | |
| | | 0 | 6 |
| 6 | Number of employees who have had "facilitator" training. | 0 | 5 |
| | Number of employees who have participated in an | U | <u> </u> |
| 7 | improvement effort. | | |
| | | 5 | 97 |

^{*} Counts listed here are only for staff who had no previous Lean experience or who joined WaTech during Jul-Dec with Lean experience from their previous job. In reality, 51 WaTech staff received training or participated in Lean projects during the first half of 2017.

^{**} Slight reductions in this report are partly due to the reduction in the size of the WaTech workforce that took place Jul-Dec.

2. Project Data: OCIO IT Decision Package Review Process Lean Project

Agency: Washington Technology Solutions/Office of the Chief Information Officer

Partners and Customers: Executive Branch Agencies, Office of Financial Management (OFM), WaTech Customer Account Manager Program, Labor and Industries, Department of Health

Project Impact

Improved the state IT Decision Package Review Process to reduce the number of required meetings, which resulted in:

- Less agency and OCIO staff time required
- Reduction in the time required to produce the prioritized list of IT project funding requests

Project Summary

The goal of this project was to:

- Gather information related to how the OCIO Conceptual Review and the WaTech Services
 Consultation processes related to the annual IT Decision Package Review Process are currently
 conducted.
- 2. Recommend changes to make both processes:
 - a. Faster
 - b. Require less meetings and staff to complete

OCIO required an average of 3 meetings per review compared to our target of 1 meeting which WaTech wanted to reach by November, 2017.

OCIO produced the prioritized list of project funding requests every year for the legislature at the end of December compared to our target of producing the list in November.

OCIO did not complete the prioritized list of project funding requests in time to inform the Governor's budget compared to our target of producing the list in November to inform the Governor's budget.

- The OCIO Conceptual Review and the WaTech Services Consultation processes take too long.
- Too many staff from OCIO, WaTech, OFM and State Agencies have to be involved in both processes.
- Formal IT expenditure prioritization occurs on an annual basis as part of the <u>state's budget</u> <u>building activities</u>. The Governor and the Legislature look to the OCIO to provide guidance on whether an agency's proposed IT expenditure is sound and consistent with the <u>state's IT</u> <u>strategy</u> to inform policy decisions on the allocation of limited state funds.
- <u>RCW 43.88.092</u> requires the Office of Financial Management to collect and agencies to provide
 information sufficient to allow for the review, analysis, and documentation of IT expenditures.
 This IT budget detail must be included as part of agencies' budget submittal documentation.
 This statute also requires the OCIO to evaluate proposed IT expenditures and establish priority
 ranking categories for the proposals.

- RCW 43.105.240 requires the OCIO to establish criteria for the evaluation of agency IT budget requests and evaluate IT related budget requests against this criteria. Current criteria includes:
 - Business Transformation
 - Architectural Standards
 - Technology Strategy Alignment
 - Security and Privacy
- The OCIO establishes this prioritization criteria in response to current state business needs and changing technologies. The OCIO evaluates agency funding requests (received in the form of decision packages) against those values and establishes a priority ranking of all funding requests. The resulting prioritized list of project funding requests is submitted to both the Governor's office and the Legislature.
- Reduced number of required meetings from 3 to 1 for any agency submitting a decision package.
- Provided the prioritized list of project funding requests to OFM on November 15, 2017. In time to inform the Governor's Budget, for the first time.
- Provided the prioritized list of project funding requests to the legislature on December 21, 2017. One month earlier than the prior year.

Project Results



Decreased labor obligation from several meetings per day to self-paced scoring with occasional meetings.

Decreased the cost associated with OCIO Scoring

Sessions from 76 hours to zero hours (38 DPs in FY18

staff and 3 agency staff per session with each session

were evaluated. It used to take on average 5 OCIO

lasting .25 hours (38x.25x8)).

OCIO and agency staff did not have to defer existing work to accommodate scoring. Saved 76 hours in staff time in just actual session time alone. Note the

savings will be larger in future non*supplemental years* which have more

DPs. *More criteria angles* can be considered when assessing DPs leading to better

quality and understanding.



Increased data analysis content from a simple tier structure **to** multi-level qualitative analysis.

| Time | Decreased staff time commitment from 3 in person meetings to maximum of 1 meeting (meetings were optional). | $\xrightarrow{q} q$ | coring activities vere completed uicker, resulting in aster data urnaround. ble to do more DP |
|------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Time | Decreased the number of ranking meetings. | $\rightarrow {}^{ti}_h$ | analysis due to the time freed up by not having to attend ranking meetings. |
| Time | Decreased the time it took to produce the prioritized list of project funding requests from December to November. | $\xrightarrow{G}_{L_{i}}^{G}$ | ble to inform the fovernor's Budget rocess and the egislative Budget rocess earlier. |

Project Details

Date improvement project was initiated: 4/5/2017

Project Contact: David Brummel Email: david.brummel@watch.wa.gov Phone: 360.407.8816
Project Team: Laura Parma, David Walddon, Whitney Dickinson, Derek Puckett, Will Saunders, Regan

Hesse, Lyle Tillett, Garth Johnson (LNI), Shelby Eagan (DOH)

Report reviewed and approved by: Rob St. John