### Strategic Lean Project Report



For Reporting Period: July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015

I. General Information:

Lead agency name: Puget Sound Partnership Partner agencies: Office of Financial Management

Improvement project title: Puget Sound related budget ranking process

Date improvement project was initiated: July, 2014

**Project type: New Project** 

If applicable, specify the alignment: **Project is directly connected to:** 

N/A

☐ Results Washington performance

measure

 □ Agency Strategic Plan Mobilize funding

☐ Other N/A

Report reviewed and approved by: Sheida Sahandy, Executive Director

#### **II. Project Summary:**

The Partnership improved the Puget Sound recovery budget request ranking process, resulting in the timely delivery of more meaningful information to the Office of Financial Management (OFM).

#### **III. Project Details:**

**Identify the** problem:

Each year the Partnership ranks state agencies' Puget Sound recovery budget requests based upon how closely they relate to the Puget Sound Action Agenda. The time-frame for ranking those requests for OFM is tight. The Partnership's 2013 ranking process consisted of 7 steps and required Partnership staff to individually review and extract cost/benefit information from more than 100 requests. The budget requests did not always provide sufficient information on Puget Sound recovery costs, or link their proposed recovery actions to the Action Agenda substrategies or Near-Term Actions. A shorter more efficient process was needed that provided detailed and accurate cost/benefit information linked to the Action Agenda by the required deadline.

**Problem** statement: Improve the process for collecting the data needed to rank Puget Sound recovery budget requests, so that OFM receives the required information on time.

Improvement description:

Agencies were asked to complete a simple online Smartsheet form containing all information needed for ranking. They filled out the Smartsheet at the same time period they were working on budget decision packages. This improved efficiency because it allowed the proposing agencies to define how their proposals support Action Agenda implementation at the same time they prepare their budget packages. The Partnership no longer had to manually extract information from each

Updated: 12-17-15

## **Strategic Lean Project Report**



budget request thus eliminating a process step that could lead to possible error or misinterpretation. One additional benefit, OFM is able to view the data entered by agencies as soon as it is saved in Smartsheet – as a result, OFM can track the budget requests coming in and identify errors or omissions. This redesigned process

resulted in a net time saving

Customer involvement:

The Partnership made the changes in the ranking process in collaboration with OFM, to ensure the new process met their needs. The Partnership also actively reached out to key partners to test the new input system as it was being developed and elicited feedback on how it could be improved.

#### **IV. Project Details:**

Improved process as measured by: (Click those that apply)	Specific results achieved: (Complete the narrative boxes below)	Total Impact: (Actuals; Current Reporting Period)	Results status:
☐ Safety ☐ Cost	Decreased staff effort related to the budget ranking process by approximately 108 staff hours in a biennia, for a total estimated cost savings of \$6,220	Savings related to staff time efficiencies is \$6,220 per biennia	Final
☑ Quality	Improved level of detail and greater accuracy of data needed to rank budget requests, due to the removal of an additional layer of interpretation.	Increased detail and accuracy	Final
⊠ Time	It is estimated that the last two budget ranking exercises (biennial and supplemental) have saved 108 hours of PSP staff time.	108 hours saved	Final
□ Customer Satisfaction	The primary customer for the budget ranking is OFM. As a result of the project, the Partnership is able to deliver more accurate and detailed information to OFM. The Partnership received direct feedback from OFM that they were satisfied with the accuracy and timely submission of the report.	⊠n/A	Final
⊠ Employee Engagement	A small team at the Partnership was engaged in developing the new Smartsheet tool and managing the data.	⊠N/A	Final

#### V. Contact information:

Name: Alex Mitchell, Performance Analyst e-mail: alex.mitchell@psp.wa.gov

Phone number: 360.464.1220

Updated: 12-17-15

# **Strategic Lean Project Report**



#### VI. Optional Visuals

1. An example of a spreadsheet containing a ranked list of Puget Sound budget requests, along with financial information for those requests.

	or's Recommended / Final Enacted								
Dollars in th									
" Denotes re	quests linked to Puget Sound Action Agenda Strategic Initiatives								
PSP	T Request Title	Agency	Op/ Cap/ Trans	Agency Request	Puget Sound Portion	Governor's Recommended Budget	Puget Sound Portion Gov Recommended	Final Enacted Budget	Puget Sound Portion Enacted Budget
Prioriuzauc ⊕1	Request Title	<u>-1</u>		\$100.000	\$60.000	\$74.000	\$44,400	\$53,000	\$31.80
⊕2	■ Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration (PSAR)*	■RCO	Сар	\$140,000		\$50,000	\$50,000		\$37,00
B3	■ Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration (FSAR)	■DNR	Op	\$1.004		\$1,004	\$1,004		\$1.00
	Salmon Recovery Funding Board Programs*	■RCO	Сар	\$100,000		\$100,000	\$44,390		\$29,51
<b>=6</b>	Shoreline Property Owner Assistance*	■PSP	Op	\$100,000					
⊜7	■Improving Shellfish Growing Areas & Related Water Quality*	■SCC	Cap	\$8.000					
8	■Implement Septic Recommendations*	■DOH	Op	\$155					
■9	☐ Centennial Clean Water Program*	BECY	Cap	\$60,000			\$24,000		\$12.00
■10	■PS Estuary and Salmon Restoration Program (ESRP)*	■RCO	Cap	\$20,000		\$10,000	\$10.000		\$8.00
⊟11	■ Floodplains by Design*	■ECY	Сар	\$50,000		\$25,000	\$17,500		
	■Puget SoundCorp*	■DNR	Сар	\$10,100					
■13	Regional Stormwater Monitoring Program*	■ECY	Op	\$5,181			\$4,145		
⊞14	Assessing Recovery	■PSP	Op	\$2,722			\$1.004		\$1.00
	Growth Management Act Plan Updates*	■COM	Op	\$3,050		\$1,898			
	☐ Stormwater - Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI)*  ☐ Stormwater - Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI)*	■SCC	Cap	\$1.082					
	■ Stormwater Retrofit*	■DOT	Trans	\$2,703					
= 19	□ Implement Chemical Action Plans*	BECY	Op	\$3,447			\$1,870		
	■Tracking Puget Sound Fish Health	■WDFW	Op	\$1.526					
<b>■21</b>	Aquatic Lands Enhancement (ALEA)	■RCO	Cap	\$6,600		\$3,660	\$0		
	■ Match for Federal RCPP Program*	■SCC	Сар	\$24,000			\$12.000		\$14,00
	■ Public Works Asst. Acct. Program 2016 Loan List	■COM	Cap	\$200,000					
	□ Puget Sound Salmon Recovery*	■PSP	Op	\$1,700		\$600	\$600		\$60
	■ Reduce Oil Spill Risk-Rail/Vessel*	■ECY	Op	\$1,354		\$1.354	\$280		\$28
	Source ID of Toxics in Stormwater	BECY	Op	\$1,629		\$864	\$691	\$864	\$69
	■ Washington Wildlife Recreation Grants (WWRP)	■RCO	Cap	\$97,000		\$70,000			
■28	■ Managing Aquatic Invasive Species*	■WDFW	Op	\$2,414			\$480		\$18
	☐ Seaweed Cultivation and Collection*	■WDFW	Op	\$625			\$0	\$0	S
	■ Water Pollution Control Revolving Program	■ECY	Cap	\$191,000			\$111,600		
<b>■31</b>	■ Native Oyster Resilience*	■WDFW	Ор	\$620	\$620	\$0	\$0	\$0	S
	■Recover Puget Sound Steelhead	⊎WDFW	Op	\$800			\$800	\$800	
	■ Resource Specific Improvements*	■SCC	Op	\$2,000	\$500	\$670	\$168	\$0	S
<b>■35</b>	■ Coastal Wetland Federal Funds	⊟ECY	Cap	\$10,000	\$6,500	\$10,000	\$6,500	\$10,000	\$6,50
	■WQ Improvement for Toxics	■ECY	Op	\$1,578	\$1,262			\$0	S
<b>■37</b>	□ Community Forest Trust	■DNR	Cap	\$3,442	\$3,442	\$3,442	\$3,442	\$0	S
	■Fish Passage Barrier Retrofit	■DOT	Trans	\$81,801	\$68,975	\$111,801	\$98,975	\$88,322	\$75,49
	■ Forest Practices Compliance	■DNR	Op	\$3,230	\$384	\$707	\$384	\$0	S

2. A summary spreadsheet containing rolled-up financial information related to Puget Sound budget requests by fund source. Prior to the improvement project, the Partnership was not able to produce this report for OFM in a timely manner.

Snapshot of 2015-17 Puget Sound Recovery Funding Agency Requests / Governor's Recommended / House Floor Passed / Senate Passed / Final Enacted June 30, 2015 (Dollars in thousands)

Agency Request / Gove	ernor-Recom	mended	/ Hc	ouse-Reco	mn	nended / Se	enat	te Recom	nen	ided / Final
	Agenc	y Requested		Governor commended	R	House ecommended	Re	Senate ecommended	1	Final 2015-17 Enacted
Capital	\$	761,791	\$	559,099	\$	478,289	\$	442,097	\$	468,448
Transportation	\$	82,448	\$	125,448	\$	110,473	\$	68,044	\$	88,371
Operating	\$	41,750	\$	30,473	\$	25,355	\$	21,752	\$	21,066
Total	\$	885,989	\$	715,020	\$	614,117	\$	531,893	\$	577,885

Final Enacted Recommendation Detail												
Type of Project or Program	Budget Type		GF-S		MTCA		SBCA		Other		TOTAL	% of PS Total
Strategic Initiatives	Capital	\$	-	\$	25,800	\$	105,516	\$	33,695	\$	165,011	29%
	Transportation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,303	\$	2,303	0%
	Operating	\$	-	\$	1,004	\$	-	\$	6,756	\$	7,760	1%
Other Priorities	Capita1	\$	-	\$	89,710	\$	24,961	\$	188,766	\$	303,437	34%
	Transportation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	86,068	\$	86,068	10%
	Operating	\$	5,894	\$	3,908	\$	_	\$	3,504	\$	13,306	2%
Total by Budget Type	Capita1	\$	-	\$	115,510	\$	130,477	\$	222,461	\$	468,448	81%
	Transportation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	88,371	\$	88,371	15%
	Operating	\$	5,894	\$	4,912	\$	-	\$	10,260	\$	21,066	4%
Total by Account		\$	5,894	\$	120,422	\$	130,477	\$	321,092	\$	577,885	
			1%		21%		23%		56%		100%	

Updated: 12-17-15